

# LINEAR OPERATORS WITH COMPACT SUPPORTS, PROBABILITY MEASURES AND MILYUTIN MAPS

VESKO VALOV

**ABSTRACT.** The notion of a regular operator with compact supports between function spaces is introduced. On that base we obtain a characterization of absolute extensors for 0-dimensional spaces in terms of regular extension operators having compact supports. Milyutin maps are also considered and it is established that some topological properties, like paracompactness, metrizability and  $k$ -metrizability, are preserved under Milyutin maps.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we assume that all topological spaces are Tychonoff. The main concept is that one of a linear map between function spaces with compact supports. Let  $u: C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$  be a linear map, where  $C(X, E)$  is the set of all continuous functions from  $X$  into a locally convex linear space  $E$ . We say that  $u$  has compact supports if for every  $y \in Y$  the linear map  $T(y): C(X, E) \rightarrow E$ , defined by  $T(y)(h) = u(h)(y)$ ,  $h \in C(X, E)$ , has a compact support in  $X$ . Here, the support of a linear map  $\mu: C(X, E) \rightarrow E$  is the set  $s(\mu)$  of all  $x \in \beta X$  such that for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\beta X$  there exists  $h \in C(X, E)$  with  $(\beta h)(\beta X - U) = 0$  and  $\mu(h) \neq 0$ . Recall that  $\beta X$  is the Čech-Stone compactification of  $X$  and  $\beta h: \beta X \rightarrow \beta E$  the extension of  $h$ . Obviously,  $s(\mu) \subset \beta X$  is closed, so compact. When  $s(\mu) \subset X$ ,  $\mu$  is said to have a compact support. In a similar way we define a linear map with compact supports when consider the bounded function sets  $C^*(X, E)$  and  $C^*(Y, E)$  (if  $E$  is the real line  $\mathbb{R}$ , we simply write  $C(X)$  and  $C^*(X)$ ). If all  $T(y)$  are *regular linear maps*, i.e.,  $T(y)(h)$  is contained in the closed convex hull  $\overline{\text{conv}h(X)}$  of  $h(X)$  in  $E$ , then  $u$  is called a *regular operator*.

Haydon [19] proved that Dugundji spaces introduced by Pelczynski [26] coincides with the absolute extensors for 0-dimensional compact spaces (br.,  $X \in AE(0)$ ). Later Chigogidze [10] provided a more general definition of  $AE(0)$ -spaces in the class of all Tychonoff spaces. The notion of linear operators with

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 28A33; 46E27; 54C10; 54C35.

*Key words and phrases.*  $AE(0)$ -spaces, linear maps with compact supports, probability measures, Milyutin maps, regularly extension operators, regular averaging operators.

The author was partially supported by his NSERC grant 261914-08.

compact supports arose from the attempt to find a characterization of  $AE(0)$ -spaces similar to the Pelczynski definition of Dugundji spaces. Here is this characterization (see Theorems 4.1-4.2). *For any space  $X$  the following conditions are equivalent: (i)  $X$  is an  $AE(0)$ -space; (ii) for every  $C$ -embedding of  $X$  in a space  $Y$  there exists a regular extension operator  $u: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  with compact supports; (iii) for every  $C$ -embedding of  $X$  in a space  $Y$  there exists a regular extension operator  $u: C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$  with compact supports; (iv) for any  $C$ -embedding of  $X$  in a space  $Y$  and any complete locally convex space  $E$  there exists a regular extension operator  $u: C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$  with compact supports.*

It is easily seen that  $u: C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$  (resp.,  $u: C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$ ) is a regular extension operator with compact supports iff there exists a continuous map  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c(X, E)$  (resp.,  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X, E)$ ) such that  $T(y)$  is the Dirac measure  $\delta_y$  at  $y$  for all  $y \in X$ . Here,  $P_c(X, E)$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(X, E)$ ) is the space of all regular linear maps  $\mu: C(X, E) \rightarrow E$  (resp.,  $\mu: C^*(X, E) \rightarrow E$ ) with compact supports equipped with the pointwise convergence topology (we write  $P_c(X)$  and  $P_c^*(X)$  when  $E = \mathbb{R}$ ). Section 2 is devoted to properties of the functors  $P_c$  and  $P_c^*$  (actually,  $P_c^*$  is the well known functor  $P_\beta$  [9] of all probability measures on  $\beta X$  whose supports are contained in  $X$ ). It appears that  $P_c(X)$  is homeomorphic to the closed convex hull of  $e_X(X)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$  provided  $X$  is realcompact, where  $e_X$  is the standard embedding of  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$  (Proposition 2.4), and  $P_c(X)$  is metrizable iff  $X$  is a metric compactum (Proposition 2.5(ii)).

In Section 3 we consider regular averaging operators with compact support and Milyutin maps. Milyutin maps between compact spaces were introduced by Pelczynski [26]. There are different definitions of Milyutin maps in the non-compact case, see [1], [28] and [37]. We say that a surjection  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a *Milyutin map* if  $f$  admits a regular averaging operator  $u: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  having compact supports. This is equivalent to the existence of a map  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c(X)$  such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  contains the support of  $T(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . It is shown, for example, that *for every product  $Y$  of metric spaces there is a 0-dimensional product  $X$  of metric spaces and a perfect Milyutin map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$*  (Corollary 3.10). Moreover, *every  $p$ -paracompact space is an image under a perfect Milyutin map of a 0-dimensional  $p$ -paracompact space* (Corollary 3.11).

In the last Section 5 we prove that some topological properties are preserved under Milyutin maps. These properties include paracompactness, collection-wise normality, (complete) metrizability, stratifiability,  $\delta$ -metrizability and  $k$ -metrizability. In particular, we provide a positive answer to a question of Shchepin [31] whether *every  $AE(0)$ -space is  $k$ -metrizable* (see Corollary 5.5).

Some of the result presented here were announced in [33] without proofs.

## 2. MEASURE SPACES

Everywhere in this section  $E, F$  stand for locally convex linear topological spaces and  $C(X, E)$  is the set of all continuous maps from a space  $X$  into  $E$ . By  $C^*(X, E)$  we denote the bounded elements of  $C(X, E)$ . Let  $\mu: C(X, E) \rightarrow F$  (resp.,  $\mu: C^*(X, E) \rightarrow F$ ) be a linear map. The support of  $\mu$  is defined as the set  $s(\mu)$  (resp.,  $s^*(\mu)$ ) of all  $x \in \beta X$  such that for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\beta X$  there exists  $f \in C(X, E)$  (resp.,  $f \in C^*(X, E)$ ) with  $(\beta f)(\beta X - U) = 0$  and  $\mu(f) \neq 0$ , see [36]. Obviously,  $s(\mu)$  and  $s^*(\mu)$  are closed in  $\beta X$ , so compact. Let us note that in the above definition  $(\beta f)(\beta X - U) = 0$  is equivalent to  $f(X - U) = 0$ . We also use  $s^*(\mu)$  to denote the support of the restriction  $\mu|_{C^*(X, E)}$  when  $\mu$  is defined on  $C(X, E)$  (in this case we have  $s^*(\mu) \subset s(\mu)$ ).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a linear map from  $C(X, E)$  (resp., from  $C^*(X, E)$ ) into  $F$ , where  $E$  and  $F$  are norm spaces.*

- (i) *If  $V$  a neighborhood of  $s(\mu)$  (resp.,  $s^*(\mu)$ ), then  $\mu(f) = 0$  for every  $f \in C(X, E)$  (resp.,  $f \in C^*(X, E)$ ) with  $(\beta f)(V) = 0$ .*
- (ii) *If the restriction  $\mu|_{C^*(X, E)}$  is continuous when  $C^*(X, E)$  is equipped with the uniform topology, then  $\mu(f) = 0$  provided  $f \in C(X, E)$  (resp.,  $f \in C^*(X, E)$ ) and  $(\beta f)(s(\mu)) = 0$  (resp.,  $(\beta f)(s^*(\mu)) = 0$ ).*
- (iii) *In each of the following two cases  $s(\mu)$  coincides with  $s^*(\mu)$ : either  $s(\mu) \subset X$  or  $\mu$  is a non-negative linear functional on  $C(X)$ .*

*Proof.* When  $\mu$  is a linear map on  $C(X, E)$ , items (i) and (ii) were established in [36, Lemma 2.1]; the case when  $\mu$  is a linear map on  $C^*(X, E)$  can be done by similar arguments. To prove (iii), we first suppose that  $s(\mu) \subset X$ . Then  $s^*(\mu)$  is the support of the restriction  $\mu|_{C^*(X, E)}$  and  $s^*(\mu) \subset s(\mu)$ . So, we need to show that  $s(\mu) \subset s^*(\mu)$ . For a given point  $x \in s(\mu)$  and its neighborhood  $U$  in  $\beta X$  there exists  $g \in C(X, E)$  with  $g(X - U) = 0$  and  $\mu(g) \neq 0$ . Because  $g(s(\mu)) \subset E$  is compact, we can find  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $s(\mu)$  is contained in the set  $W = \{y \in X : \|g(y)\| < \epsilon\}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the norm in  $E$ . Let  $B_\epsilon = \{z \in E : \|z\| \leq \epsilon\}$  and  $r: E \rightarrow B_\epsilon$  be a retraction (i.e., a continuous map with  $r(z) = z$  for every  $z \in B_\epsilon$ ). Then  $h(y) = g(y)$  for every  $y \in W$ , where  $h = r \circ g$ . Hence, choosing an open set  $V$  in  $\beta X$  such that  $V \cap X = W$ , we have  $(\beta(h - g))(V) = 0$ . Since  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $s(\mu)$ , by (i),  $\mu(h) = \mu(g) \neq 0$ . Therefore, we found a map  $h \in C^*(X, E)$  such that  $\beta h(\beta X - U) = 0$  and  $\mu(h) \neq 0$ . This means that  $x \in s^*(\mu)$ . So,  $s(\mu) = s^*(\mu)$ .

Now, let  $E = F = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu$  be a non-negative linear functional on  $C(X)$ . Suppose there exists  $x \in s(\mu)$  but  $x \notin s^*(\mu)$ . Then, for some neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\beta X$ , we have

- (1)  $\mu(h) = 0$  for every  $h \in C^*(X)$  with  $h(X - U) = 0$ .

Since  $x \in s(\mu)$ , there exists  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $f(X - U) = 0$  and  $\mu(f) \neq 0$ . Now, we use an idea from [21, proof of Theorem 1]. We represent  $f$  as the sum  $f^+ + f^-$ , where  $f^+ = \max\{f, 0\}$  and  $f^- = \min\{f, 0\}$ . Since both  $f^+$  and  $f^-$  are 0 outside  $U$  and  $\mu(f) = \mu(f^+) + \mu(f^-) \neq 0$  implies that at least one of the numbers  $\mu(f^+)$  and  $\mu(f^-)$  is not 0, we can assume that  $f \geq 0$ . By (1),  $f$  is not bounded. Therefore, there is a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $\{t_n = f(x_n) : n \geq 1\}$  is an increasing and unbounded sequence. We set  $t_0 = 0$  and for every  $n \geq 1$  define the function  $f_n \in C^*(X)$  as follows:  $f_n(x) = 0$  if  $f(x) \leq t_{n-1}$ ,  $f_n(x) = f(x) - t_{n-1}$  if  $t_{n-1} < f(x) \leq t_n$  and  $f_n(x) = t_n - t_{n-1}$  provided  $f(x) > t_n$ . Let also  $h_n = t_n \cdot f_n$  and  $h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n$ . Then  $h$  is continuous and for every  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$(2) \quad t_n(f - f_1 - f_2 - \dots - f_n) \leq h - h_1 - h_2 - \dots - h_n.$$

Since all  $f_n$  and  $h_n$  are bounded and continuous functions satisfying  $f_n(X - U) = h_n(X - U) = 0$ , it follows from (1) that  $\mu(h_n) = \mu(f_n) = 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . So, by (2),  $t_n \cdot \mu(f) \leq \mu(h)$  for every  $n$ . Hence,  $\mu(f) = 0$  which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $s(\mu) = s^*(\mu)$ .  $\square$

We say that a linear map  $\mu$  on  $C(X, E)$  (resp., on  $C^*(X, E)$ ) has a *compact support* if  $s(\mu) \subset X$  (resp.,  $s^*(\mu) \subset X$ ). If  $\mu$  takes values in  $E$ , then it is called *regular* provided  $\mu(f)$  belongs to the closure of the convex hull  $\text{conv } f(X)$  of  $f(X)$  for every  $f \in C(X, E)$  (resp.,  $f \in C^*(X, E)$ ). Below,  $C_k(X, E)$  (resp.,  $C_k^*(X, E)$ ) stands for the space  $C(X, E)$  (resp.  $C^*(X, E)$ ) with the compact-open topology.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $E$  be a norm space. A regular linear map  $\mu$  on  $C(X, E)$  (resp.,  $C^*(X, E)$ ) has a compact support in  $X$  if and only if  $\mu$  is continuous on  $C_k(X, E)$  (resp.,  $C_k^*(X, E)$ ).*

*Proof.* We consider only the case when  $\mu$  is a map on  $C(X, E)$ , the other one is similar. Suppose  $s(\mu) = H \subset X$ . Since  $\mu$  is regular,  $\mu(f) \in \overline{\text{conv } f(X)}$  for every  $f \in C(X, E)$ . This yields  $\|\mu(f)\| \leq \|f\|$ ,  $f \in C^*(X, E)$ . Hence, the restriction  $\mu|_{C^*(X, E)}$  is continuous with respect to the uniform topology. So, by Lemma 2.1(ii), for every  $f \in C(X, E)$  the value  $\mu(f)$  depends only on the restriction  $f|_H$ . Therefore, the linear map  $\nu: C(H, E) \rightarrow E$ ,  $\nu(g) = \mu(\tilde{g})$ , where  $\tilde{g} \in C(X, E)$  is any continuous extension of  $g$ , is well defined. Note that such an extension  $\tilde{g}$  always exists because  $H \subset X$  is compact. Moreover, the restriction map  $\pi_H: C_k(X, E) \rightarrow C_k(H, E)$  is surjective and continuous. Since  $\mu = \nu \circ \pi_H$ ,  $\mu$  would be continuous provided  $\nu: C_k(H, E) \rightarrow E$  is so. Next claim implies that for every  $g \in C(H, E)$  we have  $\nu(g) \in \overline{\text{conv } g(H)}$  and  $\|\nu(g)\| \leq \|g\|$ , which guarantee the continuity of  $\nu$ .

*Claim 1.*  $\mu(f) \in \overline{\text{conv } f(H)}$  for every  $f \in C(X, E)$

Indeed, if  $\mu(f) \notin \overline{\text{conv } f(H)}$  for some  $f \in C(X, E)$ , then we can find a closed convex neighborhood  $W$  of  $\overline{\text{conv } f(H)}$  in  $E$  and a function  $h \in C(X, E)$  such that  $\mu(f) \notin W$ ,  $h(X) \subset W$  and  $h(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in H$ . As it was shown above, the last equality implies  $\mu(f) = \mu(h)$ . Hence,  $\mu(f) = \mu(h) \in \overline{\text{conv } h(X)} \subset W$ , which is a contradiction.

Now, suppose  $\mu: C_k(X, E) \rightarrow E$  is continuous. Then there exists a compact set  $K \subset X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\|\mu(f)\| < 1$  for every  $f \in C(X, E)$  with  $\sup\{\|f(x)\| : x \in K\} < \epsilon$ . We claim that  $s(\mu) \subset K$ . Indeed, otherwise there would be  $x \in s(\mu) - K$ , a neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $\beta X$  with  $U \cap K = \emptyset$ , and a function  $g \in C(X, E)$  such that  $g(X - U) = 0$  and  $\mu(g) \neq 0$ . Choose an integer  $k$  with  $\|\mu(kg)\| \geq 1$ . On the other hand,  $kg(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in K$ . Hence,  $\|\mu(kg)\| < 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Now, for every space  $X$  and a locally convex space  $E$  let  $P_c(X, E)$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(X, E)$ ) denote the set of all regular linear maps  $\mu: C(X, E) \rightarrow E$  (resp.,  $\mu: C^*(X, E) \rightarrow E$ ) with compact supports equipped with the weak (i.e. point-wise) topology with respect to  $C(X, E)$  (resp.,  $C^*(X, E)$ ). If  $E$  is the real line, we write  $P_c(X)$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(X)$ ) instead of  $P_c(X, \mathbb{R})$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ ). It is easily seen that a linear map  $\mu: C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (resp.,  $\mu: C^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ) is regular if and only if  $\mu$  is non-negative and  $\mu(1) = 1$ . If  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map, then there exists a map  $P_c(h): P_c(X) \rightarrow P_c(Y)$  defined by  $P_c(h)(\mu)(f) = \mu(f \circ h)$ , where  $\mu \in P_c(X)$  and  $f \in C(Y)$ . Considering functions  $f \in C^*(Y)$  in the above formula, we can define a map  $P_c^*(h): P_c^*(X) \rightarrow P_c^*(Y)$ . It is easily seen that  $s(P_c(h)(\mu)) \subset h(s(\mu))$  (resp.,  $s^*(P_c^*(h)(\mu)) \subset h(s^*(\mu))$ ) for every  $\mu \in P_c(X)$  (resp.,  $\mu \in P_c^*(X)$ ). Moreover,  $P_c(h_2 \circ h_1) = P_c(h_2) \circ P_c(h_1)$  and  $P_c^*(h_2 \circ h_1) = P_c^*(h_2) \circ P_c^*(h_1)$  for any two maps  $h_1: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $h_2: Y \rightarrow Z$ . Therefore, both  $P_c$  and  $P_c^*$  are covariant functors in the category of all Tychonoff spaces and continuous maps. Let us also note that if  $X$  is compact then  $P_c(X)$  and  $P_c^*(X)$  coincide with the space  $P(X)$  of all probability measures on  $X$ .

For every  $x \in X$  we consider the Dirac's measure  $\delta_x \in P_c(X, E)$  defined by  $\delta_x(f) = f(x)$ ,  $f \in C(X, E)$ . In a similar way we define  $\delta_x^* \in P_c^*(X, E)$ . We also consider the maps  $i_X: X \rightarrow P_c(X, E)$ ,  $i_X(x) = \delta_x$ , and  $i_X^*: X \rightarrow P_c^*(X, E)$ ,  $i_X^*(x) = \delta_x^*$ . Next proposition is an easy exercise.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map.*

- (i) *The map  $i_X: X \rightarrow P_c(X)$  is a closed  $C$ -embedding, and  $i_X^*: X \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  is a closed  $C^*$ -embedding;*
- (ii) *The map  $P_c(h)$  is a (closed)  $C$ -embedding provided  $h$  is a (closed)  $C$ -embedding;*

- (iii) *The map  $P_c^*(h)$  is a (closed)  $C^*$ -embedding provided  $h$  is a (closed)  $C^*$ -embedding.*

There exists a natural embedding  $e_X: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$ ,  $e_X(x) = (f(x))_{f \in C(X)}$ . Denote by  $M^+(X)$  the set of all regular linear functionals on  $C(X)$  with the pointwise topology and consider the map  $m_X: M^+(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$ ,  $m_X(\mu) = (\mu(f))_{f \in C(X)}$ . It easily seen that  $m_X$  is also an embedding extending and  $m_X(M^+(X))$  is a closed convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$ . Moreover,  $P_c(X) \subset M^+(X)$ . It is well known that for compact  $X$  the space  $P(X)$  is homeomorphic with the convex closed hull of  $e_X(X)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$ . A similar fact is true for  $P_c(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $X$  is realcompact, then  $P_c(X)$  is homeomorphic to the closed convex hull of  $e_X(X)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $m_X(P_c(X))$  is a convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$  containing the set  $\text{conv } e_X(X)$ . It suffices to show that  $m_X(P_c(X))$  coincides with the set  $B = \text{conv } e_X(X)$ . Suppose  $\mu \in P_c(X)$ . By Lemma 2.1(ii) and Proposition 2.2, for every  $f \in C(X)$  the value  $\mu(f)$  is determined by the restriction  $f|s(\mu)$ . So, there exists an element  $\nu \in P(s(\mu))$  such that  $\mu(f) = \nu(f|s(\mu))$ ,  $f \in C(X)$  (see the proof of Proposition 2.2). Since the set  $P_f(s(\mu))$  of all measures from  $P(s(\mu))$  having finite supports is dense in  $P(s(\mu))$  [17], there is a net  $\{\nu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset P_f(s(\mu))$  converging to  $\nu$  in  $P(s(\mu))$ . Each  $\nu_\alpha$  can be identified with the measure  $\mu_\alpha \in P_c(X)$  defined by  $\mu_\alpha(f) = \nu_\alpha(f|s(\mu))$ ,  $f \in C(X)$ . Moreover, the net  $\{\mu_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  converges to  $\mu$  in  $P_c(X)$ . Then  $\{m_X(\mu_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset \text{conv } e_X(X)$  and converges to  $m_X(\mu)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$ . So,  $m_X(\mu) \in B$ . In this way we obtained  $m_X(P_c(X)) \subset B$ .

On the other hand, since  $m_X(M^+(X))$  is a closed and convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$  containing  $e_X(X)$ ,  $B \subset m_X(M^+(X))$ . So, the elements of  $B$  are of the form  $m_X(\mu)$  with  $\mu$  being a regular linear functional on  $C(X)$ . Since  $X$  is realcompact, according to [21, Theorem 18], any such a functional has a compact support in  $X$ . Therefore,  $B \subset m_X(P_c(X))$ .  $\square$

There exists a natural continuous map  $j_X: P_c(X) \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  assigning to each  $\mu \in P_c(X)$  the measure  $\nu = \mu|C^*(X)$ . By Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2,  $s(\mu) = s^*(\nu)$  and  $\mu(f)$  and  $\nu(g)$  depend, respectively, on the restrictions  $f|s(\mu)$  and  $g|s^*(\nu)$  for all  $f \in C(X)$  and  $g \in C^*(X)$ . This implies that  $j_X$  is one-to-one. Using again Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2, one can show that  $j_X$  is surjective. According to next proposition,  $j_X$  is not always a homeomorphism.

A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is said to be *bounded* if  $f(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded for every  $f \in C(X)$ . This notion should be distinguished from the notion of a bounded set in a linear topological space.

**Proposition 2.5.** *For a given space  $X$  we have:*

- (i) *The map  $j_X$  is a homeomorphism if and only if  $X$  is pseudocompact;*
- (ii)  *$P_c(X)$  is metrizable if and only if  $X$  is compact and metrizable.*

*Proof.* (i) Obviously, if  $X$  is pseudocompact, then  $C(X) = C^*(X)$  and  $j_X$  is the identity on  $P_c(X)$ . Suppose  $X$  is not pseudocompact and choose  $g \in C(X)$  and a discrete countable set  $\{x(n) : n \geq 1\}$  in  $X$  such that  $\{g(x(n)) : n \geq 1\}$  is unbounded and discrete in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For every  $n \geq 2$  define the measures  $\mu_n \in P_c(X)$

and  $\nu_n \in P_c^*(X)$  as follows:  $\mu_1 = \delta_{x(1)}$ ,  $\mu_n = (1 - 1/n)\delta_{x(1)} + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} (1/n)^2 \delta_{x(k)}$

and  $\nu_1 = \delta_{x(1)}^*$ ,  $\nu_n = (1 - 1/n)\delta_{x(1)}^* + \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} (1/n)^2 \delta_{x(k)}^*$ . Obviously,  $j_X(\mu_n) = \nu_n$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $s(\mu_n) = s^*(\nu_n) = \{x(1), x(2), \dots, x(n+1)\}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . So,  $g(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} s(\mu_n))$  is unbounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ . This, according to [35, Proposition 3.1] (see also [3]), means that the sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is not compact. On the other hand, it is easily seen that  $\{\nu_n\}_{n \geq 2}$  converges in  $P_c^*(X)$  to  $\nu_1$ . Consequently,  $j_X$  is not a homeomorphism.

(ii) First we prove that  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  is not metrizable, where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of the integers  $n \geq 1$  with the discrete topology. For every  $n \geq 1$  let  $K(n) = P_c(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})$ . Obviously, every  $K(n)$  is homeomorphic to a simplex of dimension  $n - 1$  and  $K(n) \subset K(m)$  for  $n \leq m$ . Moreover,  $P_c(\mathbb{N}) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} K(n)$ .

*Claim 2.*  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  is nowhere locally compact.

Indeed, otherwise there would be  $\mu \in P_c(\mathbb{N})$  and its open neighborhood  $O(\mu)$  in  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  with  $\overline{O(\mu)}$  being compact. Then, by [35, Proposition 3.1],  $S = \cup\{s(\nu) : \nu \in O(\mu)\}$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $S \subset \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$  for some  $p \geq 1$ . The last inclusion means that  $O(\mu) \subset K(p)$ , so  $\dim O(\mu) \leq p - 1$ . Therefore,  $O(\mu)$  being open in  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  is also open in each  $K(n)$ ,  $n > p$ . Since every open subset of  $K(n)$  is of dimension  $n - 1$ , we obtain that  $\dim O(\mu) > p - 1$ , a contradiction.

Now, suppose  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  is metrizable and fix  $\mu \in P_c(\mathbb{N})$ . Since  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  is nowhere locally compact and  $K(n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are compact,  $U(\mu) - K(n) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and all neighborhoods  $U(\mu) \subset P_c(\mathbb{N})$  of  $\mu$ . Using the last condition and the fact that  $\mu$  has a countable local base (as a point in a metrizable space), we can construct a sequence  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  converging to  $\mu$  in  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  such that  $\mu_n \notin K(n)$  for all  $n$ . Consequently,  $s(\mu_n) \not\subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . To obtain a contradiction, we apply again [35, Proposition 3.1] to conclude that  $s(\mu) \cup \bigcup_{n \geq 1} s(\mu_n)$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  because  $\{\mu, \mu_n : n \geq 1\}$  is a compact subset of  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$ . Therefore,  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  is not metrizable.

Let us complete the proof of (ii). If  $X$  is compact metrizable, then  $P_c(X)$  is metrizable (see, for example [17]). Suppose  $P_c(X)$  is metrizable. Then, by Proposition 2.3(i),  $X$  is also metrizable. If  $X$  is not compact, it should contain a  $C$ -embedded copy of  $\mathbb{N}$  and, according to Proposition 2.3(ii),  $P_c(X)$  should contain a copy of  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$ . So,  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  would be also metrizable, which is not possible. Therefore,  $X$  is compact and metrizable provided  $P_c(X)$  is metrizable.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *If one of the spaces  $P_c(X)$  and  $P_c^*(X)$  is Čech-complete, then  $X$  is pseudocompact.*

*Proof.* We prove first that non of the spaces  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  and  $P_c^*(\mathbb{N})$  is Čech-complete. Indeed, suppose  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  is Čech-complete. Since  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  is Lindelöf (as the union of the compact sets  $K(n) = P_c(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})$ ), it is a p-paracompact in the sense of Arhangel'skii [2]. So, there exists a perfect map  $g$  from  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  onto a separable metric space  $Z$ . Then the diagonal product  $q = g \triangle j_{\mathbb{N}}: Z \times P_c^*(\mathbb{N})$  is perfect (because  $g$  is perfect) and one-to-one (because  $j_{\mathbb{N}}$  is one-to-one). Thus,  $q$  is a homeomorphism. Since  $P_c^*(\mathbb{N})$  is second countable [9],  $Z \times P_c^*(\mathbb{N})$  is metrizable. Consequently,  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  is metrizable, a contradiction (see Proposition 2.5(ii)).

Suppose now that  $P_c^*(\mathbb{N})$  is Čech-complete, so it is a Polish space. Since  $P_c^*(\mathbb{N})$  is the union of the compact sets  $K^*(n) = P_c^*(\{1, 2, \dots, n\})$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , there exists  $m > 1$  such that  $K^*(m)$  has a non-empty interior. Then  $K(m) = P_c(\{1, 2, \dots, m\})$  has a non-empty interior in  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  because  $K(m) = j_{\mathbb{N}}^{-1}(K^*(m))$ . According to Claim 2, this is again a contradiction.

If  $X$  is not pseudocompact, there exists a function  $g \in C(X)$  and a discrete set  $A = \{x_n : n \geq 1\}$  in  $X$  such that  $g(x_n) \neq g(x_m)$  for  $n \neq m$  and  $g(A)$  is a discrete unbounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $g(A)$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $\mathbb{R}$ , it follows that  $A$  is also  $C$ -embedded in  $X$ . So,  $A$  is a  $C$ -embedded copy of  $\mathbb{N}$  in  $X$ . Then, by Proposition 2.3,  $P_c(X)$  contains a closed copy of  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  and  $P_c^*(X)$  contains a closed copy of  $P_c^*(\mathbb{N})$ . Since non of  $P_c(\mathbb{N})$  and  $P_c^*(\mathbb{N})$  is Čech-complete, non of  $P_c(X)$  and  $P_c^*(X)$  can be Čech-complete. This completes the proof.  $\square$

We say that an inverse system  $S = \{X_\alpha, p_\beta^\alpha, A\}$  is *factorizing* [11] if for every  $h \in C(X)$ , where  $X$  is the limit space of  $S$ , there exists  $\alpha \in A$  and  $h_\alpha \in C(X_\alpha)$  with  $h = h_\alpha \circ p_\alpha$ . Here,  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ -th limit projection. According to [9],  $P_c^*$  is a continuous functor, i.e. for every factorizing inverse system  $S$  the space  $P_c^*(\lim S)$  is the limit of the inverse system  $P_c^*(S) = \{P_c^*(X_\alpha), P_c^*(p_\beta^\alpha), A\}$ . The same is true for the functor  $P_c$ .

**Proposition 2.7.**  *$P_c$  is a continuous functor.*

*Proof.* Let  $S = \{X_\alpha, p_\beta^\alpha, A\}$  be a factorizing inverse system with a limit space  $X$  and let  $\{\mu_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a thread of the system  $P_c(S)$ . For every  $\alpha \in A$  we consider the measure  $\nu_\alpha = j_{X_\alpha}(\mu_\alpha)$ . Here,  $j_{X_\alpha}: P_c(X_\alpha) \rightarrow P_c^*(X_\alpha)$  is the one-to-one surjection defined above. It is easily seen that  $\{\nu_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is a thread of the system  $P_c^*(S)$ , so it determines a unique measure  $\nu \in P_c^*(X)$  (recall that  $P_c^*$  is a continuous functor). There exists a unique measure  $\mu \in P_c(X)$  with  $j_X(\mu) = \nu$ . One can show that  $P_c(p_\alpha)(\mu) = \mu_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ . Hence, the set  $P_c(X)$  coincides with the limit set of the system  $P_c(S)$ . It remains to show that for every  $\mu^0 \in P_c(X)$  and its neighborhood  $U$  in  $P_c(X)$  there exists  $\alpha \in A$  and a neighborhood  $V$  of  $\mu_\alpha^0 = P_c(p_\alpha)(\mu^0)$  in  $P_c(X_\alpha)$  such that  $P_c(p_\alpha)^{-1}(V) \subset U$ . We can suppose that  $U = \{\mu \in P_c(X) : |\mu(h_i) - \mu^0(h_i)| < \epsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$



for some  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $h_i \in C(X)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Since  $S$  is factorizing, we can find  $\alpha \in A$  and functions  $g_i \in C(X_\alpha)$  such that  $h_i = g_i \circ p_\alpha$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then  $V = \{\mu_\alpha \in P_c(X_\alpha) : |\mu_\alpha(g_i) - \mu_\alpha^0(g_i)| < \epsilon, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  is the required neighborhood of  $\mu_\alpha^0$ .  $\square$

### 3. MILYUTIN MAPS AND LINEAR OPERATORS WITH COMPACT SUPPORTS

For every linear operator  $u : C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$ , where  $E$  is a locally convex linear space, and  $y \in Y$  there exists a linear map  $T(y) : C(X, E) \rightarrow E$  defined by  $T(y)(g) = u(g)(y)$ ,  $g \in C(X, E)$ . We say that  $u$  has *compact supports* (resp.,  $u$  is *regular*) if each  $T(y)$  has a compact support in  $X$  (resp., each  $T(y)$  is regular). In a similar way we define a linear operator with compact supports if  $u : C(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$  (resp.,  $u : C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$  or  $u : C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$ ). Let us note that a linear map  $u : C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$  (resp.,  $u : C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$ ) is regular and has compact supports iff the formula

$$(3) \quad T(y)(g) = u(g)(y) \text{ with } g \in C(X, E) \text{ (resp., } g \in C^*(X, E))$$

produces a continuous map  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c(X, E)$  (resp.,  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X, E)$ ). If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a surjective map, then a linear operator  $u : C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$  (resp.,  $u : C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$ ) is called *an averaging operator for  $f$*  if  $u(\varphi \circ f) = \varphi$  for every  $\varphi \in C(Y, E)$  (resp.,  $\varphi \in C^*(Y, E)$ ). It is easily seen that  $u : C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$  (resp.,  $u : C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$ ) is a regular averaging operator for  $f$  with compact supports if and only if the map  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c(X, E)$  (resp.,  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X, E)$ ) defined by (3), has the following property: the support of every  $T(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , is contained in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Such a map  $T$  will be called *a map associated with  $f$* . It is also clear that if  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c(X, E)$  (resp.,  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X, E)$ ) is a map associated with  $f$ , then the equality (3) defines a regular averaging operator  $u : C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$  (resp.,  $u : C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$ ) for  $f$  with compact supports.

A surjective map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *Milyutin* if  $f$  admits a regular averaging operator  $u : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  with compact supports, or equivalently, there exists a map  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c(X)$  associated with  $f$ . A surjective map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called *weakly Milyutin* (resp., *strongly Milyutin*) if there exists a map  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  (resp.,  $T : P_c(Y) \rightarrow P_c(X)$ ) such that  $s^*(g(y)) \subset f^{-1}(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$  (resp.,  $s(g(\mu)) \subset f^{-1}(s(\mu))$  for all  $\mu \in P_c(Y)$ ). Obviously, every strongly Milyutin map is Milyutin. Moreover, if  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c(X)$  is a map associated with  $f$ , then the map  $j_X \circ T : Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  is witnessing that Milyutin maps are weakly Milyutin. One can also show that if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is weakly Milyutin, then its Čech-Stone extension  $\beta f : \beta X \rightarrow \beta Y$  is a Milyutin map.

We are going to establish some properties of (weakly) Milyutin maps.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a weakly Milyutin map and  $E$  a complete locally convex space. Then  $f$  admits a regular averaging operator  $u: C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$  with compact supports.*

*Proof.* Let  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  be a map associated with  $f$ . For every  $g \in C^*(X, E)$  let  $B(g) = \overline{\text{conv } g(X)}$  and consider the map  $P_c^*(g): P_c^*(X) \rightarrow P_c^*(B(g))$ . Since  $B(g)$  is a closed and bounded in  $E$  and  $E$  is complete, by [5, Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.10], there exists a continuous map  $b: P_c^*(B(g)) \rightarrow B(g)$  assigning to each measure its barycenter. The composition  $e(g) = b \circ P_c^*(g): P_c^*(X) \rightarrow E$  is a continuous extension of  $g$  (we consider  $X$  as a subset of  $P_c^*(X)$ ). Now, we define  $u: C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$  by  $u(g) = e(g) \circ T$ . This is a linear operator because  $e(g)(\mu) = \int_X g d\mu$  for every  $\mu \in P_c^*(X)$ . Since  $e(g)$  is a map from  $P_c^*(X)$  into  $B(g)$ , the linear map  $\Lambda(y): C^*(X, E) \rightarrow E$ ,  $\Lambda(y)(g) = u(g)(y)$ , is regular for all  $y \in Y$ .

So, it remains to show that the support of each  $\Lambda(y)$  is compact and it is contained in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Because  $T$  is associated with  $f$ ,  $K(y) = s^*(T(y))$  is a compact subset of  $f^{-1}(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ . We are going to show that if  $h|_{K(y)} = g|_{K(y)}$  with  $h, g \in C^*(X, E)$ , then  $\Lambda(y)(h) = \Lambda(y)(g)$ . That would imply the support of  $\Lambda(y)$  is contained in  $K(y) \subset f^{-1}(y)$ , and hence it should be compact. To this end, observe that  $T(y)$  can be considered as an element of  $P(K(y))$  - the probability measures on  $K(y)$ . So,  $T(y)$  is the limit of a net  $\{\mu_\alpha\} \subset P(K(y))$

consisting of measures with finite supports. Each  $\mu_\alpha$  is of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^{k(\alpha)} \lambda_i^\alpha \delta_{x_i^\alpha}^*$ ,

where  $x_i^\alpha \in K(y)$  and  $\lambda_i^\alpha$  are positive reals with  $\sum_{i=1}^{k(\alpha)} \lambda_i^\alpha = 1$ . Then  $\{e(g)(\mu_\alpha)\}$  converges to  $e(g)(T(y))$  and  $\{e(h)(\mu_\alpha)\}$  converges to  $e(h)(T(y))$ . On the other hand,  $e(h)(\mu_\alpha) = \int_X h d\mu_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{k(\alpha)} \lambda_i^\alpha h(x_i^\alpha)$  and  $e(g)(\mu_\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{k(\alpha)} \lambda_i^\alpha g(x_i^\alpha)$ . Since  $h|_{K(y)} = g|_{K(y)}$ ,  $h(x_i^\alpha) = g(x_i^\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha$  and  $i$ . Hence,  $e(h)(T(y)) = e(g)(T(y))$  which means that  $\Lambda(y)(h) = \Lambda(y)(g)$ . Therefore,  $u$  is a regular averaging operator for  $f$  and has compact supports.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a complete bounded convex subset of a locally convex space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a weakly Milyutin map such that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is convex for every  $y \in Y$ . Then there exists a map  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $g(y) \in f^{-1}(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  be a map associated with  $f$ . By [5, Proposition 3.10], the barycenter  $b(\mu)$  of each measure  $\mu \in P_c^*(X)$  belongs to  $X$  and the map  $b: P_c^*(X) \rightarrow X$  is continuous. Since the support of each  $T(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , is compact subset of  $f^{-1}(y)$  and  $\overline{\text{conv } s^*(T(y))} \subset f^{-1}(y)$  (recall that  $f^{-1}(y)$  is convex),  $b(T(y)) \in f^{-1}(y)$ . So, the map  $g = b \circ T$  is as required.  $\square$

Recall that a set-valued map  $\Phi: X \rightarrow Y$  is lower semi-continuous (br., lsc) if for every open  $U \subset Y$  the set  $\Phi^{-1}(U) = \{x \in X : \Phi(x) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *For every space  $X$  and a linear space  $E$  the set-valued map  $\Phi_X: P_c(X, E) \rightarrow X$ , (resp.,  $\Phi_X^*: P_c^*(X, E) \rightarrow X$ ) defined by  $\Phi_X(\mu) = s(\mu)$ , (resp.,  $\Phi_X^*(\mu) = s^*(\mu)$ ) is lsc.*

*Proof.* A similar statement was established in [4, Lemma 1.2.7], so we omit the arguments.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a weakly Milyutin map. Then we have:*

- (i)  $\beta f: \beta X \rightarrow \beta Y$  is a Milyutin map;
- (ii)  $f$  is a Milyutin map provided  $f$  is perfect.

*Proof.* Let  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  be a map associated with  $f$ . To prove (i), observe that  $P_c^*(i): P_c^*(X) \rightarrow P_c(\beta X)$  is an embedding, where  $i: X \rightarrow \beta X$  is the standard embedding (see Proposition 2.3(iii)). Because  $P_c(\beta X) = P(\beta X)$  is compact, we can extend  $T$  to a map  $\tilde{T}: \beta Y \rightarrow P(\beta X)$ . It suffices to show that  $\tilde{T}$  is a map associated with  $\beta f$ . To this end, consider the lsc map  $\Phi = \beta f \circ \Phi_{\beta X} \circ \tilde{T}: \beta Y \rightarrow \beta Y$ . Since  $\Phi$  is lsc and  $\Phi(y) = y$  for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $\Phi(y) = y$  for any  $y \in \beta Y$ . This means that the support of any  $\tilde{T}(y)$ ,  $y \in \beta Y$ , is contained in  $(\beta f)^{-1}(y)$ . So,  $\beta f$  is a Milyutin map.

The proof of (ii) follows from (i) and the following result of Choban [12, Proposition 1.1]: if  $\beta f$  admits a regular averaging operator and  $f$  is perfect, then  $f$  admits a regular averaging operator  $u: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  such that

$$\inf\{h(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\} \leq u(h)(y) \leq \sup\{h(x) : x \in f^{-1}(y)\}$$

for every  $h \in C(X)$  and  $y \in Y$ . This implies that the support of each linear map  $T(y): C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y \in Y$ , defined by (3), is contained in  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Hence,  $s(T(y))$  is compact because so is  $f^{-1}(y)$  (recall that  $f$  is perfect). Therefore,  $f$  is a Milyutin map.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a Milyutin map. Then, in each of the following cases  $f$  is strongly Milyutin: (i)  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact for every compact set  $K \subset Y$ ; (ii) every closed and bounded subset of  $X$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $u: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ ,  $u(h)(y) = g(y)(h)$ , be a corresponding regular averaging operator with compact supports, where  $g: Y \rightarrow P_c(X)$  is a map associated with  $f$ . We are going to extend  $g$  to a map  $\tilde{g}: P_c(Y) \rightarrow P_c(X)$  such that  $s(\tilde{g}(\mu)) \subset f^{-1}(s(\mu))$  for all  $\mu \in P_c(Y)$ . Let  $\mu \in P_c(Y)$  and  $K = s(\mu) \subset Y$ . Then  $g(K)$  is a compact subset of  $P_c(X)$ . Hence, by [35, Proposition 3.1],  $H = \overline{\cup\{s(g(y)) : y \in K\}}$  is a bounded and closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $s(g(y)) \subset f^{-1}(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $H \subset f^{-1}(K)$ . So, in each of the cases (i) and (ii),  $H$  is compact. Define  $\tilde{g}(\mu): C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be the linear functional  $\tilde{g}(\mu)(h) = \mu(u(h))$ ,  $h \in C(X)$ . One can check that  $\tilde{g}(\mu)(h) = 0$  provided  $h(H) = 0$ . This means that the support of  $\tilde{g}(\mu)$  is a compact subset of  $H$ , so  $\tilde{g}(\mu) \in P_c(X)$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{g}$ , considered as a map from  $P_c(Y)$  to  $P_c(X)$

is continuous and satisfies the inclusions  $s(\tilde{g}(\mu)) \subset f^{-1}(s(\mu))$ ,  $\mu \in P_c(Y)$ . Therefore,  $f$  is strongly Milyutin.  $\square$

A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *0-invertible* [20] if for any space  $Z$  with  $\dim Z = 0$  and any map  $p: Z \rightarrow Y$  there exists a map  $q: Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ q = p$ . Here,  $\dim Z = 0$  means that  $\dim \beta Z = 0$ . We say that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  has a *metrizable kernel* if there exists a metrizable space  $M$  and an embedding  $X \subset Y \times M$  such that  $\pi_Y|_X = f$ , where  $\pi_Y: Y \times M \rightarrow Y$  is the projection.

Next theorem is a generalization of [13, Theorem 3.4] and [20, Corollary 1].

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjection with a metrizable kernel and  $Y$  a paracompact space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  is (weakly) Milyutin;
- (ii) The set-valued map  $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$  admits a lsc compact-valued selection;
- (iii)  $f$  is 0-invertible.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $f$  be weakly Milyutin and  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  is a map associated with  $f$ . By Lemma 3.3, the map  $\Phi_X^*: P_c^*(X) \rightarrow X$  is lsc, so is the map  $\Phi_X^* \circ T$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_X^*(T(y)) = s^*(T(y)) \subset f^{-1}(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Hence,  $\Phi_X^* \circ T$  is a compact-valued selection of  $f^{-1}$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Suppose  $M$  is a metrizable space such that  $X \subset Y \times M$  and  $\pi_Y|_X = f$ . Suppose also that  $f^{-1}$  admits a compact-valued lsc selection  $\Phi: Y \rightarrow X$ . To show that  $f$  is 0-invertible, take a map  $p: Z \rightarrow Y$  with  $\dim Z = 0$ , and let  $Z_1 = (\beta p)^{-1}(Y)$ . Then  $Z_1$  is paracompact (as a perfect preimage of  $Y$ ) and  $\dim Z_1 = 0$  because  $\beta Z_1 = \beta Z$  is 0-dimensional. The set-valued map  $\pi_M \circ \Phi \circ p_1: Z_1 \rightarrow M$  is lsc and compact-valued, where  $\pi_M: Y \times M \rightarrow M$  is the projection and  $p_1 = (\beta p)|_{Z_1}$ . According to [23],  $\pi_M \circ \Phi \circ p_1$  admits a (single-valued) continuous selection  $q_1: Z_1 \rightarrow M$ . Finally, the map  $q: Z \rightarrow X$ ,  $q(z) = (p(z), q_1(z))$  is the required lifting of  $p$ , i.e.  $f \circ q = p$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) By [28], there exists a perfect weakly Milyutin map  $p: Z \rightarrow Y$  with  $Z$  being a 0-dimensional paracompact. Then, by Proposition 3.4(ii),  $p$  is a Milyutin map. Since  $f$  is 0-invertible, there exists a map  $g: Z \rightarrow X$  with  $f \circ g = p$ . If  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c(Z)$  is a map associated with  $p$ , then  $\tilde{T} = P_c(g) \circ T: Y \rightarrow P_c(X)$  is a map associated with  $f$  because  $s(\tilde{T}(y)) \subset g(p^{-1}(y)) \subset f^{-1}(y)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Hence,  $f$  is a Milyutin map.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective map such that either  $X$  and  $Y$  are metrizable or  $f$  is perfect. Then the following are equivalent: (i)  $f$  is weakly Milyutin; (ii)  $f$  is Milyutin; (iii)  $f$  is strongly Milyutin.*

*Proof.* If  $X$  and  $Y$  are metrizable, this follows from Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.6. In case  $f$  is perfect, we apply Propositions 3.4 and 3.5.  $\square$

A space  $Z$  is called a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space if every function on  $Z$  is continuous provided it is continuous on every compact subset of  $Z$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *The product  $f$  of any family  $\{f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$  of weakly Milyutin maps is also weakly Milyutin. If, in addition,  $Y = \prod\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space and for every  $\alpha \in A$  the closed and bounded subsets of  $X_\alpha$  are compact, then  $f$  is Milyutin provided each  $f_\alpha$  is Milyutin.*

*Proof.* Let  $T_\alpha: Y_\alpha \rightarrow P_c^*(X_\alpha)$  be a map associated with  $f_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha$ . Then, by Proposition 3.4,  $\beta f_\alpha$  is a Milyutin map and  $\beta T_\alpha: \beta Y_\alpha \rightarrow P(\beta X_\alpha)$  is associated with  $\beta f_\alpha$ . So,  $u_\alpha: C(\beta X_\alpha) \rightarrow C(\beta Y_\alpha)$ ,  $u_\alpha(h)(y) = \beta T_\alpha(y)(h)$ ,  $y \in \beta Y_\alpha$  and  $h \in C(\beta X_\alpha)$ , is a regular averaging operator for  $\beta f_\alpha$ . Let  $X = \prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ ,  $\tilde{X} = \prod\{\beta X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ ,  $\tilde{Y} = \prod\{\beta Y_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  and  $\tilde{f} = \prod\{\beta f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ . According to [26], there exists a regular averaging operator  $u: C(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow C(\tilde{Y})$  for  $\tilde{f}$  such that  $u(h \circ p_\alpha) = u_\alpha(h) \circ q_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $h \in C(\beta X_\alpha)$ , where  $p_\alpha: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \beta X_\alpha$  and  $q_\alpha: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \beta Y_\alpha$  are the projections. This implies that, if  $\tilde{T}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow P(\tilde{X})$  is the map associated to  $\tilde{f}$  and generated by  $u$ , we have  $s(\tilde{T}(y)) \subset \prod\{s(T_\alpha(q_\alpha(y))) : \alpha \in A\}$ ,  $y \in \tilde{Y}$ . Hence,  $s(\tilde{T}(y)) \subset f^{-1}(y)$  for every  $y \in \tilde{Y}$ . So,  $\tilde{T}$  maps  $\tilde{Y}$  into the subspace  $H$  of  $P(\tilde{X})$  consisting of all measures  $\mu \in P(\tilde{X})$  with  $s(\mu) \subset X$ . Now, let  $\pi: \beta X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  be the natural map and  $P(\pi): P(\beta X) \rightarrow P(\tilde{X})$ . Then,  $\theta = P(\pi)|P_c^*(X): P_c^*(X) \rightarrow H$  is a homeomorphism (for more general result see [9, Proposition 1]). Therefore,  $T = \theta^{-1} \circ (\tilde{T}|Y): Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  is a map associated with  $f$ . Thus,  $f$  is weakly Milyutin.

Suppose now that  $Y$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space,  $f_\alpha$  are Milyutin maps and the closed and bounded subsets of each  $X_\alpha$  are compact. We already proved that there exists a regular averaging operator  $u: C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$  for  $f$  and a corresponding to  $u$  map  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  associated with  $f$  such that  $s^*(T(y)) \subset \prod\{s(T_\alpha(q_\alpha(y))) : \alpha \in A\} \subset f^{-1}(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Here, each  $T_\alpha: Y_\alpha \rightarrow P_c(X_\alpha)$  is a map associated with  $f_\alpha$  (recall that  $f_\alpha$  are Milyutin maps). For any  $h \in C(X)$  and  $n \geq 1$  define  $h_n \in C^*(X)$  by  $h_n(x) = h(x)$  if  $|h(x)| \leq n$ ,  $h_n(x) = n$  if  $h(x) \geq n$  and  $h_n(x) = -n$  if  $h(x) \leq -n$ . Since for every  $y \in Y$  the support  $s^*(T(y)) \subset X$  is compact,  $h|s^*(T(y)) = h_n|s^*(T(y))$  with  $n \geq n_0$  for some  $n_0$ . Hence, the formula  $v(h)(y) = \lim u(h_n)(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , defines a function on  $Y$ . Let us show that  $v(h)$  is continuous. Since  $Y$  is a  $k_{\mathbb{R}}$ -space, it suffices to prove that  $v(h)$  is continuous on every compact set  $K \subset Y$ . Then each of the sets  $T_\alpha(K_\alpha) \subset P_c(X_\alpha)$  is compact, where  $K_\alpha = q_\alpha(K)$ . By [35, Proposition 3.1],  $Z_\alpha = \overline{\cup\{s(\mu) : \mu \in T_\alpha(K_\alpha)\}}$  is bounded in  $X_\alpha$  and, hence compact (recall that all closed and bounded subsets of  $X_\alpha$  are compact). Let  $Z$  be the closure in  $X$  of the set  $\cup\{s^*(\mu) : \mu \in T(K)\}$ . Since  $Z \subset \prod\{Z_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ ,  $Z$  is also compact. So, there exists  $m$  such that  $h|Z = h_n|Z$  for all  $n \geq m$ . This implies that  $v(h)|K = u(h_m)|K$ . Hence,  $v(h)$  is continuous on  $K$ . Since for every  $y \in Y$  the support of  $T(y)$  is compact and each  $u(h)(y)$ ,  $h \in C^*(X)$ , depends on  $h|s^*(T(y))$ ,  $v: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  is linear and the support of  $T'(y) \in P_c(X)$  is contained in  $s^*(T(y)) \subset f^{-1}(y)$ , where  $T': Y \rightarrow P_c(X)$  is defined by  $T'(y)(h) =$

$v(h)(y)$ ,  $h \in C(X)$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Moreover, it follows from the definition of  $v$  that it is regular and  $v(\phi \circ f) = \phi$  for every  $\phi \in C(Y)$ . Therefore,  $v$  is a regular averaging operator for  $f$  with compact supports  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *A product of perfect Milyutin maps is also Milyutin.*

*Proof.* Since any product of perfect maps is perfect, the proof follows from Corollary 3.7 and Theorem 3.8.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $Y = \prod\{Y_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a product of metrizable spaces. Then there exists a 0-dimensional product  $X$  of metrizable spaces and a 0-invertible perfect Milyutin map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ .*

*Proof.* By [12, Theorem 1.2.1], for every  $\alpha \in A$  there exists a 0-dimensional metrizable space  $X_\alpha$  and a perfect Milyutin map  $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ . Then, by Corollary 3.9,  $f = \prod\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is a perfect Milyutin map from  $X = \prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  onto  $Y$ . It is easily seen that  $f$  is 0-invertible because each  $f_\alpha$  is 0-invertible (see Theorem 3.6). Moreover, since  $\dim X_\alpha = 0$  for each  $\alpha$ ,  $\dim X = 0$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $X$  is a  $p$ -paracompact space [2] if it admits a perfect map onto a metrizable space.

**Corollary 3.11.** *For every  $p$ -paracompact space  $Y$  there exists a 0-dimensional  $p$ -paracompact space  $X$  and a perfect 0-invertible Milyutin map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is  $p$ -paracompact, it can be considered as a closed subset of  $M \times \mathbb{I}^\tau$ , where  $M$  is metrizable and  $\tau \geq \aleph_0$ . There exist perfect Milyutin maps  $\phi: \mathfrak{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  and  $g: M_0 \rightarrow M$  with  $\mathfrak{C}$  being the Cantor set [26] and  $M_0$  a 0-dimensional metrizable space. [12, Theorem 1.2.1]. Then the product map  $\Phi = g \times \phi^\tau: M_0 \times \mathfrak{C}^\tau \rightarrow M \times \mathbb{I}^\tau$  is a perfect 0-invertible Milyutin map (see Corollary 3.10), and let  $T: M \times \mathbb{I}^\tau \rightarrow P_c(M \times \mathbb{I}^\tau)$  be a map associated with  $\Phi$ . Define  $X = \Phi^{-1}(Y)$  and  $f = \Phi|_X$ . Since  $X$  is closed in  $M_0 \times \mathfrak{C}^\tau$ , it is a 0-dimensional  $p$ -paracompact. Since  $\Phi$  is 0-invertible (as a product of 0-invertible maps, see Theorem 3.6), so is  $f$ . To show that  $f$  is Milyutin, observe that  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $M_0 \times \mathfrak{C}^\tau$ . So,  $P_c(X)$  is embedded in  $P_c(M_0 \times \mathfrak{C}^\tau)$  such that  $T(y) \in P_c(X)$  for all  $y \in Y$ . This means that  $T|_Y$  is a map associated with  $f$ . Hence,  $f$  is Milyutin.  $\square$

Now, we provide a specific class of Milyutin maps. Suppose  $B \subset Z$  and  $g: B \rightarrow D$ . We say that  $g$  is a  $Z$ -normal map provided for every  $h \in C(D)$  the function  $h \circ g$  can be continuously extended to a function on  $Z$ . A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is called  $0$ -soft [10] if for any 0-dimensional space  $Z$ , any two subspaces  $Z_0 \subset Z_1 \subset Z$ , and any  $Z$ -normal maps  $g_0: Z_0 \rightarrow X$  and  $g_1: Z_1 \rightarrow Y$  with  $f \circ g_0 = g_1|_{Z_0}$ , there exists a  $Z$ -normal map  $g: Z_1 \rightarrow X$  such that  $f \circ g = g_1$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *Every 0-soft map is Milyutin.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be 0-soft. Consider  $Y$  as a  $C$ -embedded subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{C(Y)}$  and let  $\varphi: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{C(Y)}$  be a perfect Milyutin map with  $\dim Z = 0$  (see Corollary 3.10). Since  $Y$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{C(Y)}$ ,  $g_1 = \varphi|_{Z_1}: Z_1 \rightarrow Y$  is a  $Z$ -normal map, where  $Z_1 = \varphi^{-1}(Y)$ . Because  $f$  is 0-soft, there exists a  $Z$ -normal map  $g: Z_1 \rightarrow X$  with  $f \circ g = g_1$ . Now, for every  $h \in C(X)$  choose an extension  $e(h) \in C(Z)$  of  $h \circ g$  (such  $e(h)$  exist since  $g$  is  $Z$ -normal). Define  $v: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  by  $v(h) = u(e(h))|_Y$ , where  $u: C(Z) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^{C(Y)})$  is a regular averaging operator for  $\varphi$  having compact supports. The map  $v$  is linear because for every  $y \in Y$   $u(e(h))(y)$  depends on the restriction  $e(h)|_{\varphi^{-1}(y)}$ . By the same reason  $v$  has compact supports. Moreover,  $v$  is a regular averaging operator for  $f$ . Hence,  $f$  is Milyutin.  $\square$

#### 4. $AE(0)$ -SPACES AND REGULAR EXTENSION OPERATORS WITH COMPACT SUPPORTS

Let  $X$  be a subspace of  $Y$ . A linear operator  $u: C(X, E) \rightarrow C(Y, E)$  is said to be an *extension operator* provided each  $u(f)$ ,  $f \in C(X, E)$  is an extension of  $f$ . One can show that such an extension operator  $u$  is regular and has compact supports if and only if there exists a map  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c(X, E)$  such that  $T(x) = \delta_x$  for every  $x \in X$ . Sometimes a map  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c(X, E)$  satisfying the last condition will be called a  *$P_c$ -valued retraction*. The connection between  $u$  and  $T$  is given by the formula  $T(y)(f) = u(f)(y)$ ,  $f \in C(X, E)$ ,  $y \in Y$ .

Pelczynski [26] introduced the class of Dugundji spaces: a compactum  $X$  is a *Dugundji space* if for every embedding of  $X$  in another compact space  $Y$  there exists an extension regular operator  $u: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  (note that  $u$  has compact supports because  $X$  is compact). Later Haydon [19] proved that a compact space  $X$  is a Dugundji space if and only if it is an absolute extensor for 0-dimensional compact spaces (br.,  $X \in AE(0)$ ). The notion of  $X \in AE(0)$  was extended by Chigogidze [10] in the class of all Tychonoff spaces as follows: a space  $X$  is an  $AE(0)$  if for every 0-dimensional space  $Z$  and its subspace  $Z_0 \subset Z$ , every  $Z$ -normal map  $g: Z_0 \rightarrow X$  can be extended to the whole of  $Z$ .

We show that an analogue of Haydon's result remains true and for the extended class of  $AE(0)$ -spaces.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any space  $X$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $X$  is an  $AE(0)$ -space;
- (ii) For every  $C$ -embedding of  $X$  in a space  $Y$  there exists a regular extension operator  $u: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  with compact supports;
- (iii) For every  $C$ -embedding of  $X$  in a space  $Y$  there exists a regular extension operator  $u: C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$  with compact supports.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $Y$  and take a set  $A$  such that  $Y$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^A$ . It suffices to show there exists a regular extension

operator  $u: C(X) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R}^A)$  with compact supports, or equivalently, we can find a map  $T: \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow P_c(X)$  with  $T(x) = \delta_x$  for all  $x \in X$ . By Corollary 3.10, there exists a 0-dimensional space  $Z$  and a Milyutin map  $f: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^A$ . This means that the map  $g: \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow P_c(Z)$  associated with  $f$  is an embedding. Since  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^A$ , the restriction  $f|_{f^{-1}(X)}$  is a  $Z$ -normal map. So, there exists a map  $q: Z \rightarrow X$  extending  $f|_{f^{-1}(X)}$  (recall that  $X \in AE(0)$ ). Then  $T = P_c(q) \circ g: \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow P_c(X)$  has the required property that  $T(x) = \delta_x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $X$  be  $C$ -embedded in  $Y$  and  $u: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  a regular extension operator with compact supports. Then  $u(f) \in C^*(Y)$  for all  $f \in C^*(X)$  because  $u$  is regular. Hence,  $u|_{C^*(X)}: C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$  is a regular extension operator with compact supports.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Suppose  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^A$  for some  $A$  and  $u: C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(\mathbb{R}^A)$  is a regular extension operator with compact supports. So, there exists a map  $T: \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow P_c(X)$  with  $T(x) = \delta_x$ ,  $x \in X$ . Assume that  $A$  is the set of all ordinals  $\{\lambda : \lambda < \omega(\tau)\}$ , where  $\omega(\tau)$  is the first ordinal of cardinality  $\tau$ .

For any sets  $B \subset D \subset A$  we use the following notations:  $\pi_B: \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^B$  and  $\pi_B^D: \mathbb{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^B$  are the natural projections,  $X(B) = \pi_B(X)$ ,  $p_B = \pi_B|_X$  and  $p_B^D = \pi_B^D|_{X(D)}$ . A set  $B \subset A$  is called  $T$ -admissible if for any  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^A$  the equality  $\pi_B(x) = \pi_B(y)$  implies  $P_c^*(p_B)(\delta_x) = P_c^*(p_B)(T(y))$ . Let us note that if  $B$  is  $T$ -admissible, then there exists a map

(4)  $T_B: \mathbb{R}^B \rightarrow P_c^*(X(B))$  such that  $T_B(z) = \delta_z$  for all  $z \in X(B)$ .

Indeed, take an embedding  $i: \mathbb{R}^B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^A$  such that  $\pi_B \circ i$  is the identity on  $\mathbb{R}^B$ , and define  $T_B = P_c^*(p_B) \circ T \circ i$ .

*Claim 3. For every countable set  $B \subset A$  there exists a countable  $T$ -admissible set  $D \subset A$  containing  $B$*

We construct by induction an increasing sequence  $\{D(n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  of countable subsets of  $A$  such that  $D \subset D(1)$  and for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^A$  we have

$$(5) \quad P_c^*(p_{D(n)})(\delta_x) = P_c^*(p_{D(n)})(T(y)) \text{ provided } \pi_{D(n+1)}(x) = \pi_{D(n+1)}(y).$$

Suppose we have already constructed  $D(1), \dots, D(n)$ . Since  $D(n)$  is countable, the topological weight of  $X(D(n))$  is  $\aleph_0$ . So is the weight of  $P_c^*(X(D(n)))$  [9]. Then the map  $P_c^*(p_{D(n)}) \circ T: \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow P_c^*(X(D(n)))$  depends on countable many coordinates (see, for example [27]). This means that there exists a countable set  $D(n+1)$  satisfying (5). We can assume that  $D(n+1)$  contains  $D(n)$ , which completes the induction. Obviously, the set  $D = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} D(n)$  is countable. Let us show it is  $T$ -admissible. Suppose  $\pi_D(x) = \pi_D(y)$  for some  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^A$ . Hence, for every  $n \geq 1$  we have  $\pi_{D(n+1)}(x) = \pi_{D(n+1)}(y)$  and, by (5),  $P_c^*(p_{D(n)})(\delta_x) = P_c^*(p_{D(n)})(T(y))$ . This means that the support of each measure  $P_c^*(p_{D(n)})(T(y))$  is the point  $p_{D(n)}(x)$ . The last relation implies that the support



of  $P_c^*(p_D)(T(y))$  is the point  $p_D(x)$ . Therefore,  $P_c^*(p_D)(T(y)) = P_c^*(p_D)(\delta_x)$  and  $D$  is  $T$ -admissible.

*Claim 4. Any union of  $T$ -admissible sets is  $T$ -admissible.*

Suppose  $B$  is the union of  $T$ -admissible sets  $B(s)$ ,  $s \in S$ , and  $\pi_B(x) = \pi_B(y)$  with  $x \in X$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^A$ . Then  $\pi_{B(s)}(x) = \pi_{B(s)}(y)$  for every  $s \in S$ . Hence,  $P_c^*(p_{B(s)})(T(y)) = P_c^*(p_{B(s)})(\delta_x)$ ,  $s \in S$ . So, the support of each  $P_c^*(p_{B(s)})(T(y))$  is the point  $p_{B(s)}(x)$ . Consequently, the support of  $P_c^*(p_B)(T(y))$  is the point  $p_B(x)$  because  $p_B(x) = \bigcap \{(p_{B(s)}^B)^{-1}(p_{B(s)}(x)) : s \in S\}$ . This means that  $B$  is  $T$ -admissible.

*Claim 5. Let  $B \subset A$  be  $T$ -admissible. Then we have:*

- (a)  $X(B)$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^B$ ;
- (b)  $p_B(V)$  is functionally open in  $X(B)$  for any functionally open subset  $V$  of  $X$ .

Since  $B$  is  $T$ -admissible, according to (4) there exists a map  $T_B: \mathbb{R}^B \rightarrow P_c^*(X(B))$  such that  $T_B(z) = \delta_z$  for all  $z \in X(B)$ . To prove condition (a), suppose  $\{z_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a net in  $X(B)$  converging to some  $z \in \mathbb{R}^B$ . Then  $\{T_B(z_\alpha)\}$  converges to  $T_B(z)$ . But  $T_B(z_\alpha) = \delta_{z_\alpha} \in i_{X(B)}^*(X(B))$  for every  $\alpha$  and, since  $i_{X(B)}^*(X(B))$  is a closed subset of  $P_c^*(X(B))$  (see Proposition 2.3(i)),  $T_B(z) \in i_{X(B)}^*(X(B))$ . Hence,  $T_B(z) = \delta_y$  for some  $y \in X(B)$ . Using that  $i_{X(B)}^*$  embeds  $X(B)$  in  $P_c^*(X(B))$ , we obtain that  $\{z_\alpha\}$  converges to  $y$ , so  $y = z \in X(B)$ .

To prove (b), let  $V$  be a functionally open subset of  $X$  and  $g: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  a continuous function with  $V = g^{-1}((0, 1])$ . Then  $u(g) \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^A)$  with  $0 \leq u(g)(y) \leq 1$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^A$  and let  $W = u(g)^{-1}((0, 1])$ . Since  $\pi_B(W)$  is functionally open in  $\mathbb{R}^B$  (see, for example [34]),  $\pi_B(W) \cap X(B)$  is functionally open in  $X(B)$ . So, it suffices to show that  $p_B(V) = \pi_B(W) \cap X(B)$ . Because  $u(g)$  extends  $g$ , we have  $V \subset W$ . So,  $p_B(V) \subset \pi_B(W) \cap X(B)$ . To prove the other inclusion, let  $z \in \pi_B(W) \cap X(B)$ . Choose  $x \in X$  and  $y \in W$  with  $\pi_B(x) = \pi_B(y)$ . Then  $P_c^*(p_B)(T(y)) = P_c^*(p_B)(\delta_x) = \delta_z$  (recall that  $B$  is  $T$ -admissible). Hence,  $s^*(T(y)) \subset p_B^{-1}(z)$ . Since  $y \in W$ ,  $T(y)(g) = u(g)(y) \in (0, 1]$ . This implies that  $s^*(T(y)) \cap V \neq \emptyset$  (otherwise  $T(y)(g) = 0$  because  $g(X - V) = 0$ , see Proposition 2.1(ii)). Therefore,  $z \in p_B(V)$ , i.e.  $\pi_B(W) \cap X(B) \subset p_B(V)$ . The proof of Claim 5 is completed.

Let us continue the proof of  $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ . Since  $A$  is the set of all ordinals  $\lambda < \omega(\tau)$ , according to Claim 3, for every  $\lambda$  there exists a countable  $T$ -admissible set  $B(\lambda) \subset A$  containing  $\lambda$ . Let  $A(\lambda) = \cup\{B(\eta) : \eta < \lambda\}$  if  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal, and  $A(\lambda) = \cup\{B(\eta) : \eta \leq \lambda\}$  otherwise. By Claim 4, every  $A(\lambda)$  is  $T$ -admissible. We are going to use the following simplified notations:

$$X_\lambda = X(A(\lambda)), p_\lambda = p_{A(\lambda)}: X \rightarrow X_\lambda \text{ and } p_\lambda^\eta: X_\eta \rightarrow X_\lambda \text{ provided } \lambda < \eta.$$

Since  $A$  is the union of all  $A(\lambda)$  and each  $X_\lambda$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^{A(\lambda)}$  (see Claim 5(a)), we obtain a continuous inverse system  $S = \{X_\lambda, p_\lambda^\eta, \lambda < \eta < \omega(\tau)\}$  whose limit space is  $X$ . Recall that  $S$  is continuous if for every limit ordinal  $\gamma$  the space  $X_\gamma$  is the limit of the inverse system  $\{X_\lambda, p_\lambda^\eta, \lambda < \eta < \gamma\}$ . Because of the continuity of  $S$ ,  $X \in AE(0)$  provided  $X_1 \in AE(0)$  and each short projection  $p_\lambda^{\lambda+1}$  is 0-soft. The space  $X_1$  being a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{A(1)}$  is a Polish space, so an  $AE(0)$  [10]. Hence, it remains to show that all  $p_\lambda^{\lambda+1}$  are 0-soft.

We fix  $\lambda < \omega(\tau)$  and let  $E(\lambda) = A(\lambda) \cap (B(\lambda) \cup B(\lambda + 1))$ . Since  $E(\lambda)$  is countable, there exists a sequence  $\{\beta_n\} \subset A(\lambda)$  such that  $\beta_n \leq \lambda$  for each  $n$  and  $E(\lambda) \subset C(\lambda) \subset A(\lambda)$ , where  $C(\lambda) = \cup\{B(\beta_n) : n \geq 1\}$ . By Claim 4, the sets  $C(\lambda)$  and  $D(\lambda) = B(\lambda) \cup B(\lambda + 1) \cup C(\lambda)$  are countable and  $T$ -admissible. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\lambda+1} & \xrightarrow{p_\lambda^{\lambda+1}} & X_\lambda \\ p_{D(\lambda)}^{A(\lambda+1)} \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{C(\lambda)}^{A(\lambda)} \\ X(D(\lambda)) & \xrightarrow{p_{C(\lambda)}^{D(\lambda)}} & X(C(\lambda)) \end{array}$$

We are going to prove first that the diagram is a cartesian square. This means that the map  $g: X_{\lambda+1} \rightarrow Z$ ,  $g(x) = (p_{D(\lambda)}^{A(\lambda+1)}(x), p_\lambda^{\lambda+1}(x))$ , is a homeomorphism. Here  $Z = \{(x_1, x_2) \in X(D(\lambda)) \times X_\lambda : p_{C(\lambda)}^{D(\lambda)}(x_1) = p_{C(\lambda)}^{A(\lambda)}(x_2)\}$  is the fibered product of  $X(D(\lambda))$  and  $X_\lambda$  with respect to the maps  $p_{C(\lambda)}^{D(\lambda)}$  and  $p_{C(\lambda)}^{A(\lambda)}$ . Let  $z = (x(1), x(2)) \in Z$ . Since  $(D(\lambda) - C(\lambda)) \cap (A(\lambda) - C(\lambda)) = \emptyset$  and  $A(\lambda + 1) = (D(\lambda) - C(\lambda)) \cup (A(\lambda) - C(\lambda)) \cup C(\lambda)$ , there exists exactly one point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{A(\lambda+1)}$  such that  $\pi_{D(\lambda)}^{A(\lambda+1)}(x) = x(1)$  and  $\pi_{A(\lambda)}^{A(\lambda+1)}(x) = x(2)$ . Choose  $y \in \mathbb{R}^A$  with  $\pi_{A(\lambda+1)}(y) = x$ . Since  $D(\lambda)$  and  $A(\lambda)$  are  $T$ -admissible,  $P_c^*(p_{D(\lambda)})(T(y)) = \delta_{x(1)}$  and  $P_c^*(p_{A(\lambda)})(T(y)) = \delta_{x(2)}$ . Consequently,  $p_{D(\lambda)}^{A(\lambda+1)}(H) = x(1)$  and  $p_{A(\lambda)}^{A(\lambda+1)}(H) = x(2)$ , where  $H$  is the support of the measure  $P_c^*(p_{A(\lambda+1)})(T(y))$ . Hence,  $H = \{x\}$  is the unique point of  $X_{\lambda+1}$  with  $g(x) = z$ . Thus,  $g$  is a surjective and one-to-one map between  $X_{\lambda+1}$  and  $Z$ . To prove  $g$  is a homeomorphism, it remains to show that  $g^{-1}$  is continuous. The above arguments yield that  $x = g^{-1}(z)$  depends continuously from  $z \in Z$ . Indeed, since  $D(\lambda) \cap A(\lambda) = C(\lambda)$ , we have

$$x(1) = (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{D(\lambda)-C(\lambda)} \times \mathbb{R}^{C(\lambda)} \text{ and } x(2) = (b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^{C(\lambda)} \times \mathbb{R}^{A(\lambda)-C(\lambda)},$$

where  $z = (x(1), x(2)) \in Z$ . Hence,  $g^{-1}(z) = (a, b, c)$  is a continuous function of  $z$ .

Since  $D(\lambda)$  and  $C(\lambda)$  are countable and  $T$ -admissible sets, both  $X(D(\lambda))$  and  $X(C(\lambda))$  are Polish spaces and  $p_{C(\lambda)}^{D(\lambda)}$  is functionally open (see Claim 5(b)).

Hence,  $p_{C(\lambda)}^{D(\lambda)}$  is 0-soft [10]. This yields that  $p_\lambda^{\lambda+1}$  is also 0-soft because the above diagram is a cartesian square.  $\square$

Next proposition provides a characterization of  $AE(0)$ -spaces in terms of extension of vector-valued functions. This result was inspired by [7].

**Theorem 4.2.** *A space  $X \in AE(0)$  if and only if for any complete locally convex space  $E$  and any  $C$ -embedding of  $X$  in a space  $Y$  there exists a regular extension operator  $: C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$  with compact supports.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X \in AE(0)$  and  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in a space  $Y$ . Then by Theorem 4.1(iii), there exists a regular extension operator  $v: C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$  with compact supports. This is equivalent to the existence of a  $P_c^*$ -valued retraction  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$ . We can extend each  $f \in C^*(X, E)$  to a continuous bounded map  $e(f): P_c^*(X) \rightarrow E$ . Indeed, let  $B(f) = \overline{\text{conv } f(X)}$  and consider the map  $P_c^*(f): P_c^*(X) \rightarrow P_c^*(B(f))$ . Obviously,  $B(f)$  is a bounded convex closed subset  $E$ , so it is complete. Then, by [5, Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.10], there exists a continuous map  $b: P_c^*(B(f)) \rightarrow B(f)$  assigning to each measure  $\nu \in P_c^*(B(f))$  its barycenter  $b(\nu)$ . The composition  $e(f) = b \circ P_c^*(f): P_c^*(X) \rightarrow B(f)$  is a bounded continuous extension of  $f$ . We also have

$$(6) \quad e(f)(\mu) = \int_X f d\mu \text{ for every } \mu \in P_c^*(X).$$

Finally, we define  $u: C^*(X, E) \rightarrow C^*(Y, E)$  by  $u(f) = e(f) \circ T$ ,  $f \in C^*(X, E)$ . The linearity of  $u$  follows from (6). Moreover, for every  $y \in Y$  the linear map  $\Lambda(y): C^*(X, E) \rightarrow E$ ,  $\Lambda(y)(f) = u(f)(y)$ , is regular because  $\Lambda(y)(f) \in \overline{\text{conv } f(X)}$ . Using the arguments from the proof of Proposition 3.1 (the final part), we can show that each  $\Lambda(y)$ ,  $y \in Y$ , has a compact support which is contained in  $K(y) = s^*(T(y)) \subset X$ . Therefore,  $u$  is a regular extension operator with compact supports.

The other implication follows from Theorem 4.1. Indeed, since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, there exists a regular extension operator  $u: C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$  provided  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $Y$ . Hence, by Theorem 4.1(iii),  $X \in AE(0)$ .  $\square$

Recall that a space  $X$  is an absolute retract [10] if for every  $C$ -embedding of  $X$  in a space  $Y$  there exists a retraction from  $Y$  onto  $X$ .

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a convex bounded and complete subset of a locally convex topological space. Then  $X$  is an absolute retract provided  $X \in AE(0)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $X$  is  $C$ -embedded in a space  $Y$ . According to [5, Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.10], the barycenter of each  $\mu \in P_c(X)$  belongs to  $X$  and the map  $b: P_c(X) \rightarrow X$  is continuous. Since  $X \in AE(0)$ , by Theorem 4.1, there exists a  $P_c$ -valued retraction  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c(X)$ . Then  $r = b \circ T: Y \rightarrow X$  is a retraction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $X \subset Y$  and  $u: C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$  be a regular extension operator with compact supports. Suppose every closed bounded subset of  $X$  is compact. Then there exists a map  $T_c: P_c(Y) \rightarrow P_c(X)$  (resp.,  $T_c^*: P_c^*(Y) \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$ ) such that  $P_c(i) \circ T_c$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(i) \circ T_c^*$ ) is a retraction, where  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  is the embedding of  $X$  into  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $\mu \in P_c(Y)$  define  $T_c(\mu): C(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $T_c(\mu)(f) = \mu(u(f))$ ,  $f \in C(X)$ . Obviously, each  $T_c(\mu)$  is linear. Let us show that  $T_c(\mu) \in P_c(X)$  for all  $\mu \in P_c(Y)$ . Since  $u$  has compact supports, the map  $T: Y \rightarrow P_c(X)$  generated by  $u$  is continuous. Hence,  $T(s(\mu))$  is a compact subset of  $P_c(X)$  (recall that  $s(\mu) \subset Y$  is compact). Then by [2] (see also [35, Proposition 3.1]),  $H(\mu) = \overline{\cup\{s(T(y)) : y \in s(\mu)\}}$  is closed and bounded in  $X$ , and hence compact. Let us show that the support of  $T_c(\mu)$  is compact. That will be done if we prove that  $s(T_c(\mu)) \subset H(\mu)$ . To this end, let  $f(H(\mu)) = 0$  for some  $f \in C(X)$ . Consequently,  $T(y)(f) = 0$  for all  $y \in s(\mu)$ . So,  $u(f)(s(\mu)) = 0$ . The last equality means that  $T_c(\mu)(f) = 0$ . Hence, each  $T_c(\mu)$  has a compact support and  $T_c$  is a map from  $P_c(Y)$  to  $P_c(X)$ . It is easily seen that  $P_c(i)(T_c(\mu)) = \mu$  for all  $\mu \in P_c(i)(P_c(X))$ . Therefore,  $P_c(i) \circ T_c$  is a retraction from  $P_c(Y)$  onto  $P_c(i)(P_c(X))$ .

Now, we consider the linear operators  $T_c^*(\nu): C^*(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T_c^*(\nu)(h) = \nu(u(h))$  with  $\nu \in P_c^*(Y)$  and  $h \in C^*(X)$ . Observe that  $u(h) \in C^*(Y)$  for  $h \in C^*(X)$  because  $u$  is a regular operator, so the above definition is correct. To show that  $T_c^*$  is a map from  $P_c^*(Y)$  to  $P_c^*(X)$ , for every  $\nu \in P_c^*(Y)$  take the unique  $\mu \in P_c(Y)$  with  $j_Y(\mu) = \nu$ . Then  $s(\mu) = s^*(\nu)$  according to Proposition 2.1. Hence,  $T_c^*(\nu)(h) = 0$  provided  $h \in C^*(X)$  with  $h|s(T_c(\mu)) = 0$ . So, the support of  $T_c^*(\nu)$  is contained in  $s(T_c(\mu))$ . This means that  $T_c^*$  maps  $P_c^*(Y)$  into  $P_c^*(X)$ . Moreover, one can show that  $P_c^*(i) \circ T_c^*$  is a retraction.  $\square$

Ditor and Haydon [14] proved that if  $X$  is a compact space, then  $P(X)$  is an absolute retract if and only if  $X$  is a Dugundji space of weight  $\leq \aleph_1$ . A similar result concerning the space of all  $\sigma$ -additive probability measures was established by Banach-Chigogidze-Fedorchuk [6]. Next theorem shows that the same is true when  $P_c(X)$  or  $P_c^*(X)$  is an AR.

**Theorem 4.5.** *For a space  $X$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $P_c(X)$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(X)$ ) is an absolute retract;
- (ii)  $P_c(X)$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(X)$ ) is an  $AE(0)$ ;
- (iii)  $X$  is a Dugundji space of weight  $\leq \aleph_1$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) This implication is trivial because every AR is an  $AE(0)$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) It suffices to show that  $X$  is compact. Indeed, then both  $P_c(X)$  and  $P_c^*(X)$  are  $AE(0)$  and coincide with  $P(X)$ . So, by Corollary 4.3,  $P(X)$  is an AR. Applying the mentioned above result of Ditor-Haydon, we obtain that  $X$  is a Dugundji space of weight  $\leq \aleph_1$ .

Suppose  $X$  is not compact. Since  $P_c(X)$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(X)$ ) is an  $AE(0)$ -space, it is realcompact. Hence, so is  $X$  as a closed subset of  $P_c(X)$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(X)$ ). Consequently,  $X$  is not pseudocompact (otherwise it would be compact), and there exists a closed  $C$ -embedded subset  $Y$  of  $X$  homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{N}$  (see the proof of Proposition 2.6). Since  $Y$  is an  $AE(0)$ , according to Theorem 4.1, there exists a regular extension operator  $u: C(Y) \rightarrow C(X)$  with compact supports. Then, by Lemma 4.4,  $P_c(Y)$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(Y)$ ) is homeomorphic to a retract of  $P_c(X)$  (resp.,  $P_c^*(X)$ ). Hence, one of the spaces  $P_c(Y)$  and  $P_c^*(Y)$  is an  $AE(0)$  (as a retract of an  $AE(0)$ -space). Suppose  $P_c^*(Y) \in AE(0)$ . Since  $P_c^*(Y)$  is second countable, this implies  $P_c^*(Y)$  is Čech-complete. Hence, by Proposition 2.6,  $Y$  is pseudocompact, a contradiction. If  $P_c(Y) \in AE(0)$ , then  $P_c(Y)$  is metrizable according to a result of Chigogidze [10] stating that every  $AE(0)$ -space whose points are  $G_\delta$ -sets is metrizable (the points of  $P_c(Y)$  are  $G_\delta$  because  $j_Y: P_c(Y) \rightarrow P_c^*(Y)$  is an one-to-one surjection and  $P_c^*(Y)$  is metrizable). But by Proposition 2.5(ii),  $P_c(Y)$  is metrizable only if  $Y$  is compact and metrizable. So, we have again a contradiction.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) This implication follows from the stated above result of Ditor and Haydon [14].  $\square$

## 5. PROPERTIES PRESERVED BY MILYUTIN MAPS

In this section we show that some topological properties are preserved under Milyutin maps. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a family of closed subsets of  $X$ . We say that  $X$  is *collectionwise normal with respect to  $\mathfrak{F}$*  if for every discrete family  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \subset \mathfrak{F}$  there exists a discrete family  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  of open in  $X$  sets with  $F_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ . When  $X$  is collectionwise normal with respect to the family of all closed subsets, it is called *collectionwise normal*.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Every weakly Milyutin map preserves paracompactness and collectionwise normality.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a weakly Milyutin map and  $u: C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$  a regular averaging operator for  $f$  with compact supports.

Suppose  $X$  is collectionwise normal, and let  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a discrete family of closed sets in  $Y$ . Then  $\{f^{-1}(F_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$  is a discrete collection of closed sets in  $X$ . So, there exists a discrete family  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  of open sets in  $X$  with  $f^{-1}(F_\alpha) \subset V_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . Let  $V_0 = X - \bigcup \{f^{-1}(F_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$  and  $\gamma = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \cup \{V_0\}$ . Since  $\gamma$  is a locally finite open cover of  $X$  and  $X$  is normal (as collectionwise normal), there exists a partition of unity  $\xi = \{h_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \cup \{h_0\}$  on  $X$  subordinated to  $\gamma$  such that  $h_\alpha(f^{-1}(F_\alpha)) = 1$  for every  $\alpha$ . Observe that  $h_{\alpha(1)}(x) + h_{\alpha(2)}(x) \leq 1$  for any  $\alpha(1) \neq \alpha(2)$  and any  $x \in X$ . So,  $u(h_{\alpha(1)})(y) + u(h_{\alpha(2)})(y) \leq 1$  for all  $y \in Y$ . This yields that  $\{u(h_\alpha)^{-1}((1/2, 1]) : \alpha \in A\}$  is a disjoint open family in  $Y$ . Moreover,

$F_\alpha \subset u(h_\alpha)^{-1}((1/2, 1])$  for every  $\alpha$ . Therefore,  $Y$  is collectionwise normal (see [16, Theorem 5.1.17]).

Let  $X$  be paracompact and  $\omega$  an open cover of  $Y$ . So, there exists a locally finite open cover  $\gamma$  of  $X$  which is an index-refinement of  $f^{-1}(\omega)$ . Let  $\xi$  be a partition of unity on  $X$  subordinated to  $\gamma$ . It is easily seen that  $u(\xi)$  is a partition of unity on  $Y$  subordinated to  $\omega$ . Hence, by [24],  $Y$  is paracompact.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a weakly Milyutin map and  $X$  a (completely) metrizable space. Then  $Y$  is also (completely) metrizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  be a map associated with  $f$ . Then  $\phi = \Phi_X^* \circ T : Y \rightarrow X$  is a lsc compact-valued map (see Lemma 3.3 for the map  $\Phi_X^*$ ) such that  $\phi(y) \subset f^{-1}(y)$  for every  $y \in Y$ . Since  $Y$  is paracompact (by Theorem 4.1), we can apply Michael's selection theorem [25] to find an upper semi-continuous (br., usc) compact-valued selection  $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$  for  $\phi$  (recall that  $\psi$  is usc provided the set  $\{y \in Y : \psi(y) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$  is closed in  $Y$  for every closed  $F \subset X$ ). Then  $f|_{X_1} : X_1 \rightarrow Y$  is a perfect surjection, where  $X_1 = \cup\{\psi(y) : y \in Y\}$ . Hence,  $Y$  is metrizable as a perfect image of a metrizable space.

If  $X$  is completely metrizable, then so is  $Y$ . Indeed, by [1, Theorem 1.2], there exists a closed subset  $X_0 \subset X$  such that  $f|_{X_0} : X_0 \rightarrow X$  is an open surjection. Then  $Y$  is complete (as a metric space being an open image of a complete metric space).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a weakly Milyutin map with  $X$  being a product of metrizable spaces. Then we have:*

- (i) *The closure of any family of  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $X$  is a zero-set in  $X$ ;*
- (ii)  *$X$  is collectionwise normal with respect to the family of all closed  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X = \prod\{X_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ , where each  $X_\gamma$  is metrizable. Suppose  $u : C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$  is a regular averaging operator for  $f$  with compact supports.

(i) Let  $G$  be a union of  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $Y$ . Then so is  $\overline{f^{-1}(G)}$  in  $X$  and, by [22, Corollary], there exists  $h \in C^*(X)$  with  $h^{-1}(0) = \overline{f^{-1}(G)}$ . Since  $h(T(y)) = 0$  for each  $y \in G$ ,  $u(h)(G) = 0$ . On the other hand,  $\inf\{h(x) : x \in T(y)\} > 0$  for  $y \notin \overline{G}$ . Hence,  $u(h)(y) > 0$  for any  $y \notin \overline{G}$ . Consequently,  $u(h)^{-1}(0) = \overline{G}$ .

(ii) Let  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be a discrete family of closed  $G_\delta$ -sets in  $Y$ . Then so is the family  $\{H_\alpha = f^{-1}(F_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$  in  $X$ . Moreover, by (i), each  $F_\alpha$  is a zero-set in  $Y$ , hence  $H_\alpha$  is a zero-set in  $X$ .

We can assume that  $\Gamma$  is uncountable (otherwise  $X$  is metrizable and the proof follows from Theorem 5.1). Consider the  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma(a)$  of all  $X_\gamma$  with a base-point  $a \in X$ . Since  $\Sigma(a)$  is  $G_\delta$ -dense in  $X$  (i.e., every  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$  meets  $\Sigma(a)$ ),  $\Sigma(a)$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $X$  [32] and

(7)  $H_\alpha = \overline{H_\alpha \cap \Sigma(a)}$  for any  $\alpha$ .

Because  $\Sigma(a)$  is collectionwise normal [18], there exists a discrete family  $\{W_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  of open subsets of  $\Sigma(a)$  such that  $H_\alpha \cap \Sigma(a) \subset W_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . Let  $W_0 = \Sigma(a) - \cup\{H_\alpha \cap \Sigma(a) : \alpha \in A\}$ . Choose a partition of unity  $\{h_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \cup \{h_0\}$  in  $\Sigma(a)$  subordinated to the locally finite cover  $\{W_\alpha : \alpha \in A\} \cup \{W_0\}$  of  $\Sigma(a)$  such that  $h_\alpha(H_\alpha \cap \Sigma(a)) = 1$  for each  $\alpha$ . Since  $\Sigma(a)$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $X$ , each  $h_\alpha$  can be extended to a function  $g_\alpha$  on  $X$ . Because of (7),  $g_\alpha(H_\alpha) = 1$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . The density of  $\Sigma(a)$  in  $X$  implies that  $g_{\alpha(1)}(x) + g_{\alpha(2)}(x) \leq 1$  for any  $\alpha(1) \neq \alpha(2)$  and any  $x \in X$ . As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, this implies that  $F_\alpha \subset U_\alpha = u(g_\alpha)^{-1}((1/2, 1])$  and the family  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  is disjoint. Then, as in the proof of [16, Theorem 5.1.17], there exists a discrete family  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  of open subsets of  $Y$  with  $F_\alpha \subset V_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ .  $\square$

A space  $X$  is called *k-metrizable* [29] if there exists a *k-metric* on  $X$ , i.e., a non-negative real-valued function  $d$  on  $X \times \mathcal{RC}(X)$ , where  $\mathcal{RC}(X)$  denotes the family of all regularly closed subset of  $X$  (i.e., closed sets  $F \subset X$  with  $F = \overline{\text{int}_X(F)}$ ) satisfying the following conditions:

- (K1)  $d(x, F) = 0$  iff  $x \in F$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $F \in \mathcal{RC}(X)$ ;
- (K2)  $F_1 \subset F_2$  implies  $d(x, F_2) \leq d(x, F_1)$  for every  $x \in X$ ;
- (K3)  $d(x, F)$  is continuous with respect to  $x$  for every  $F \in \mathcal{RC}(X)$ ;
- (K4)  $d(x, \overline{\cup\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}}) = \inf\{d(x, F_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$  for every  $x \in X$  and every increasing linearly ordered by inclusion family  $\{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathcal{RC}(X)$ .

If  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is a family of closed subsets of  $X$ , then a function  $d : X \times \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  satisfying conditions (K1) – (K3) with  $\mathcal{RC}(X)$  replaced by  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is called a *monotone continuous annihilator* of the family  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  [15]. When  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  consists of all zero sets in  $X$ , then any monotone continuous annihilator is said to be a  *$\delta$ -metric on  $X$*  [15]. The well known notion of stratifiability [8] can be express as follows:  $X$  is stratifiable iff there exists a monotone continuous annihilator on  $X$  for the family of all closed subsets of  $X$ .

A space  $X$  is perfectly *k-normal* [30] provided every  $F \in \mathcal{RC}(X)$  is a zero-set in  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Every weakly Milyutin map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  preserves the following properties: stratifiability,  $\delta$ -metrizability, and perfectly k-normality. If, in addition,  $cl_X(f^{-1}(U)) = f^{-1}(cl_Y(U))$  for every open  $U \subset Y$ , then  $f$  preserves k-metrizability.*

*Proof.* We consider only the case  $f$  satisfies the additional condition which is denoted by (s) (the proof of the other cases is similar). Let  $u : C^*(X) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$  be a regular averaging operator for  $f$  having compact supports, and  $d(x, F)$  be a *k-metric* on  $X$ . We may assume that  $d(x, F) \leq 1$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $F \in \mathcal{RC}(X)$ , see [29]. Let  $F_G = cl_X(f^{-1}(\text{int}_Y(G)))$  for each  $G \in \mathcal{RC}(Y)$ ,

and define  $h_G(x) = d(x, F_G)$ . Consider the function  $\rho : Y \times \mathcal{RC}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\rho(y, G) = u(h_G)(y)$ . We are going to check that  $\rho$  is a  $k$ -metric on  $Y$ .

Suppose  $G(1), G(2) \in \mathcal{RC}(Y)$  and  $G(1) \subset G(2)$ . Then  $F_{G(1)} \subset F_{G(2)}$ , so  $h_{G(2)} \leq h_{G(1)}$ . Consequently,  $\rho(y, G(2)) \leq \rho(y, G(1))$  for any  $y \in Y$ . On the other hand, obviously,  $\rho(y, G)$  is continuous with respect to  $y$  for every  $G \in \mathcal{RC}(Y)$ . Hence,  $\rho$  satisfies conditions (K2) and (K3).

Suppose  $G \in \mathcal{RC}(Y)$ . Then  $s^*(T(y)) \subset f^{-1}(y) \subset F_G$  for every  $y \in \text{int}_Y(G)$ , where  $T : Y \rightarrow P_c^*(X)$  is the associated map to  $f$  generated by  $u$ . Consequently,  $h_G|_{s^*(T(y))} = 0$  which implies  $u(h_G)(y) = 0$ ,  $y \in \text{int}_Y(G)$ . On the other hand, if  $y \notin G$ , then  $s^*(T(y)) \cap F_G = \emptyset$  and  $h_G(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in s^*(T(y))$ . Since  $u(h_G)(y) \geq \inf\{h_G(x) : x \in s^*(T(y))\}$  (recall that  $u$  is an averaging operator for  $f$ ),  $u(h_G)(y) > 0$ . Hence,  $u(h_G)(y) = \rho(y, G) = 0$  iff  $y \in G$ , so  $\rho$  satisfies condition (K1).

To check condition (K4), suppose  $\{G(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\} \subset \mathcal{RC}(Y)$  is an increasing linearly ordered by inclusion family and  $G = cl_Y(\cup \{G(\alpha) : \alpha \in A\})$ . Using that  $f$  satisfies condition (s), we have  $F_G = cl_X(\cup \{F_{G(\alpha)} : \alpha \in A\})$ . Since  $\{F_{G(\alpha)} : \alpha \in A\}$  is also increasing and linearly ordered by inclusion, according to condition (K4),  $h_G(x) = \inf\{h_{G(\alpha)}(x) : \alpha \in A\}$  for every  $x \in X$ . Let  $y \in Y$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then for every  $x \in X$  there exists  $\alpha_x \in A$  such that  $h_{G(\alpha_x)}(x) < h_G(x) + \epsilon$ . Choose a neighborhood  $V(x)$  of  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $h_{G(\alpha_x)}(z) < h_G(z) + \epsilon$  for all  $z \in V(x)$ . Since  $s^*(T(y))$  is compact, it can be covered by finitely many  $V(x(i))$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , with  $x(i) \in s^*(T(y))$ . Let  $\beta = \max\{\alpha_{x(i)} : i \leq n\}$ . Then  $h_{G(\beta)}(x) < h_G(x) + \epsilon$  for all  $x \in s^*(T(y))$ . The last equality yields  $\rho(y, G(\beta)) \leq \rho(y, G) + \epsilon$  because  $u(h_{G(\beta)})(y)$  and  $u(h_G)(y)$  depend only on the restrictions  $h_{G(\beta)}|_{s^*(T(y))}$  and  $h_G|_{s^*(T(y))}$ , respectively. Thus,  $\inf\{\rho(y, G(\alpha)) : \alpha \in A\} \leq \rho(y, G)$ . The inequality  $\rho(y, G) \leq \inf\{\rho(y, G(\alpha)) : \alpha \in A\}$  is obvious because  $G$  contains each  $G(\alpha)$ , so  $\rho$  satisfies condition (K4). Therefore,  $Y$  is  $k$ -metrizable.  $\square$

Next corollary provides a positive answer to a question of Shchepin [31].

**Corollary 5.5.** *Every  $AE(0)$ -space is  $k$ -metrizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be an  $AE(0)$ -space of weight  $\tau$ . By [10, Theorem 4], there exists a surjective 0-soft map  $f : \mathbb{N}^\tau \rightarrow X$ . Since  $\mathbb{N}^\tau \in AE(0)$  (as a product of  $AE(0)$ -space) and every 0-soft map between  $AE(0)$ -spaces is functionally open [10, Theorem 1.15],  $f$  satisfies condition (s) from the previous theorem. On the other hand,  $\mathbb{N}^\tau$  is  $k$ -metrizable as a product of metrizable spaces [29, Theorem 15]. Hence, the proof follows from Proposition 3.12 and Theorem 5.4.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ageev and E. Tymchatyn, *On exact atomless Milyutin maps*, Topology Appl. **153**, 2-3 (2005), 227–238.



- [2] A. Arhangel'skii, *On a class of spaces containing all metrizable and all locally compact spaces*, Mat. Sb. **67**, **1** (1965), 55–85 (in Russian).
- [3] A. Arhangel'skii, *On linear homeomorphisms of function spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **25** (1982), 852–855.
- [4] J. Baars and J. de Groot, *On topological and linear equivalence of certain function spaces*, CWI Tracts **86** (Centrum Wisk. Inform., Amsterdam, 1992).
- [5] T. Banakh, *Topology of probability measure spaces II*, Mat. Stud. **5** (1995), 88–106 (in Russian).
- [6] T. Banakh, A. Chigogidze and V. Fedorchuk, *On spaces of  $\sigma$ -additive probability measures*, Topology and Appl. **133** (2003), 139–155.
- [7] I. Banakh, T. Banakh and K. Yamazaki, *Extenders for vector-valued functions*, Studia Math. **191** (2009), 123–150.
- [8] C. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 1–16.
- [9] A. Chigogidze, *Extension of normal functors*, Moscow Univ. Math. Bull. **39**, **6** (1984), 31–35.
- [10] A. Chigogidze, *Noncompact absolute extensors in dimension  $n$ ,  $n$ -soft mappings, and their applications*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **28**, **1** (1987), 151–174.
- [11] A. Chigogidze, *Inverse spectra*, North-Holland Mathematical Library 53, North-Holland 1996.
- [12] M. Choban, *Topological structure of subsets of topological groups and their quotient spaces*, in Topological structures and algebraic systems, Mat. Issled. **44**, Kishinev, 1977, 117–163 (in Russian).
- [13] S. Ditor, *Averaging operators in  $C(S)$  and lower semicontinuous selections of continuous maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **175** (1973), 195–208.
- [14] S. Ditor and R. Haydon, *On absolute retracts,  $P(S)$ , and complemented subspaces of  $C(D^{\omega_1})$* , Studia Math. **56**, **3** (1976), 243–251.
- [15] A. Dranishnikov, *Simultaneous annihilation of families of closed sets,  $k$ -metrizable and stratifiable spaces*, Soviet Math Dokl. **19**, **6** (1978), 1466–1469.
- [16] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, PWN, Warszawa 1977.
- [17] V. Fedorchuk and V. Filippov, *General Topology: basic constructions*, Moscow Univ. 1988 (in Russian).
- [18] S. Gul'ko, *Properties of sets that lie in  $\Sigma$ -products*, Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR **237**, **3** (1978), 505–508 (in Russian).
- [19] R. Haydon, *On a problem of Pelczynski: Milutin spaces, Dugundji spaces and  $AE(0 - \dim)$* , Studia Math. **52** (1974), 23–31.
- [20] B. Hoffman, *A surjective characterization of Dugundji spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1979), 151–156.
- [21] E. Hewitt, *Linear functionals on spaces of continuous functions*, Fund. Math. **37** (1950), 161–189.
- [22] B. Klebanov, *Remarks on subsets of Cartesian products of metric spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **23**, **4** (1982), 767–784.
- [23] E. Michael, *Selected selection theorems*, American Math. Monthly **63** (1956), 233–238.
- [24] E. Michael, *A note on paracompact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 831–638.
- [25] E. Michael, *A theorem on semi-continuous set-valued functions*, Duke Math. J. **26**, **4** (1959), 647–656.
- [26] A. Pelczynski, *Linear extensions, linear averagings, and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions*, Dissert. Math. **58** (1968), 1–89.
- [27] E. Pol and R. Pol, *Remarks on Cartesian products*, Fund. Math. **93** (1976), 57–69.

- [28] D. Repovš, P. Semenov and E. Ščepin, *On zero-dimensional Milutin maps and Michael selection theorems*, Topology Appl. **54** (1993), 77–83.
- [29] E. Shchepin, *Topology of limit spaces of uncountable inverse spectra*, Russian Math. Surveys **315** (1976), 155–191.
- [30] E. Shchepin, *On topological products, groups, and a new class of spaces more general than metric spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **17**, **1** (1976), 152–155.
- [31] E. Shchepin, Personal communication, 1986.
- [32] M. Tkačenko, *The notion of  $\sigma$ -tightness and  $C$ -embedded subspaces of products*, Topology and Appl. **15**, **1** (1983), 93–98.
- [33] V. Valov, *Milutin mappings and  $AE(0)$ -spaces*, C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. **40**, **11** (1987), 9–12.
- [34] V. Valov, *Another characterization of  $AE(0)$ -spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **127** (1987), 199–208.
- [35] V. Valov, *Function spaces*, Topology and Appl. **81** (1997), 1–22.
- [36] V. Valov and D. Vuma, *Function spaces and Dieudonné completeness*, Quaestiones Mathematicae **21**, **3-4** (1998), 303–309.
- [37] V. Valov, *Probability measures and Milyutin maps between metric spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **350** (2009), 723–730.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100  
COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* veskov@nipissingu.ca